## Garden Grove Unified School District Office of Secondary Education Department of 7-12 Instructional Services

	GGUSD ARGUMENTATIVE WRITING RUBRIC Name:		Period: Score:	
	The following rubric was used in grading your essay. Your essay contains all or most of the cl		acteristics listed under the score you received.	
	a-b = Statement of Purpose/Focus c-e = Organization f-g = Elaboration of Evidence h = Language and Vocabulary * i-j = Conventions (*Score of 4 required for proficiency.)			
6	<ul> <li>SCORE OF 6: SUPERIOR</li> <li>A 6 essay is superior writing which meets the criteria of a Score of 5, and is especially distinctive in its:</li> <li>Exceptionally coherent and well-developed argument</li> <li>Thorough development of analysis of specifics related to the prompt and text(s)</li> <li>Impressive control of language</li> </ul>	3	<ul> <li>SCORE OF 3: MARGINAL</li> <li>A 3 essay demonstrates developing competence, but is flawed in some significant way(s).</li> <li>a. Claim may be clearly focused, but is insufficiently sustained</li> <li>b. Alternate or opposing claim(s) are unclear or unfocused</li> <li>c. Inconsistent use of basic transitional strategies with little variety</li> <li>d. Uneven progression of ideas from beginning to end</li> <li>e. Introduction and conclusion are present</li> <li>f. Evidence from sources is weakly integrated and citations, if present are uneven</li> <li>g. Marginal or uneven use of elaborative techniques</li> <li>h. Use of academic and domain-specific vocabulary may at times be inappropriate for the audience and purpose</li> <li>i. Frequent errors in grammar and usage may obscure meaning</li> <li>j. Frequent errors in punctuation, capitalization, and spelling</li> </ul>	
5	<ul> <li>SCORE OF 5: STRONG</li> <li>A 5 essay demonstrates a clear competence in writing. It may have some errors, but they are not serious enough to distract or confuse the reader.</li> <li>a. Claim is clear, focused and maintained</li> <li>b. Alternate or opposing claim(s) are adequately addressed</li> <li>c. Consistent use of transitional strategies</li> <li>d. Logical progression of ideas from beginning to end</li> <li>e. Effective introduction and conclusion for audience and purpose</li> <li>f. Use of relevant evidence from sources is integrated with appropriate citation</li> <li>g. Effective use of elaborative techniques</li> <li>h. Use of academic and domain-specific vocabulary is appropriate for the audience and purpose</li> <li>i. Demonstrates some syntactical variety, but may have minor errors in grammar, usage, and sentence formation</li> <li>j. Standard use of punctuation, capitalization, and spelling</li> </ul>	2	<ul> <li>SCORE OF 2: WEAK <ul> <li>A 2 essay is seriously flawed.</li> </ul> </li> <li>a. Claim is unfocused and insufficiently sustained</li> <li>b. Alternate or opposing claim(s) are unclear or not present</li> <li>c. Limited use of basic transitional strategies with little or no variety</li> <li>d. Unclear progression of ideas from beginning to end</li> <li>e. Introduction and conclusion are attempted</li> <li>f. Evidence from sources is included, but not integrated or appropriately cited</li> <li>g. Elaborative techniques are weak</li> <li>h. Use of academic and domain-specific vocabulary is largely inappropriate for the audience and purpose</li> <li>i. Frequent errors in grammar, usage, and sentence formation obscure meaning</li> <li>j. Frequent errors and/or limited use of punctuation, capitalization, and spelling</li> </ul>	
4	<ul> <li>Score of 4: Proficient writing. It may have some errors that distract the reader, but they do not significantly obscure meaning.</li> <li>a. Claim is clear, and for the most part maintained, though some loosely relevant material may be present</li> <li>b. Alternate or opposing claim(s) are introduced</li> <li>c. Adequate use of transitional strategies with some variety</li> <li>d. Adequate progression of ideas from beginning to end</li> <li>e. Adequate introduction and conclusion</li> <li>f. Some evidence from sources is integrated, though citations may be general or imprecise</li> <li>g. Adequate use of some elaborative techniques</li> <li>h. Use of academic and domain-specific vocabulary is generally appropriate for the audience and purpose</li> <li>i. Some errors in grammar, usage, and sentence formation may be present, but no systematic pattern of errors is displayed</li> <li>j. Some errors in punctuation, capitalization, and spelling</li> </ul>	1	<ul> <li>SCORE OF 1: INADEQUATE <ul> <li>A 1 essay demonstrates fundamental deficiencies in writing skills.</li> <li>a. Claim may be confusing or ambiguous</li> <li>b. Alternate or opposing claim(s) are not present</li> <li>c. Few or no transitional strategies are evident</li> <li>d. Has a major drift in the progression of ideas</li> <li>e. Introduction or conclusion may not be present</li> <li>f. Use of evidence from sources minimal, absent, in error, or irrelevant</li> <li>g. Elaborative techniques are absent</li> <li>h. Uses limited academic language or domain-specific vocabulary and has little sense of audience and purpose</li> <li>i. Errors in grammar, usage, and sentence formation are frequent and severe, and meaning is often obscured</li> <li>j. Inappropriate or incorrect use of punctuation, capitalization, and spelling</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	