

Mini-Research Project Rubric

The QUEST to Define Beauty

AP Capstone Seminar

Names: _____

Mini-Research Project: Defining Beauty		POINTS
The report does not identify the area of investigation.	The report identifies the area of investigation and identifies various perspectives, drawing few or no connections among those perspectives.	The report identifies and richly contextualizes the area of investigation, discusses various perspectives and draws explicit connections among those perspectives.
0.25	.5	1
The report restates information gathered from sources rather than summarizing the information.	The report summarizes specific information with some explanation and provides a limited analysis of the line of reasoning.	The report explains and summarizes specific information and provides a solid and/or detailed analysis of the line of reasoning.
0.25	.5	1
The argument incorporates minimal or no evidence. The argument makes many accuracy errors in attribution and citation.	The argument uses some combination of evidence, but from a narrow range of sources. Or a wide range of evidence is present, but might not be carefully interpreted or synthesized. The argument attributes and cites the sources used with a reasonable amount of accuracy and thoroughness.	The argument interprets and synthesizes evidence from a wide range of sources. The argument appears to accurately attribute and cite all sources used.
.25	.5	1
The argument is disorganized and poorly reasoned or overly general. The argument presents few or no specific resolutions, conclusions, and/or solutions.	The argument is logically organized, but the reasoning may be faulty, or it may be misaligned with the research question. The argument presents specific resolutions, conclusions, and/or solutions that are impractical or do not derive from the line of reasoning.	The argument is logically organized, well-reasoned, and complex. The argument presents resolutions, conclusions, and/or solutions that are unambiguously linked to evidence and fully address the research question.
.25	.5	1
The argument contains many technical flaws that interfere with communication to the reader. Or diction and syntax might be overly simplistic.	The argument contains technical flaws that minimally interfere with communication to the reader.	The argument contains few technical flaws and clearly communicates to the reader.
.25	.5	1

COMMENTS: